

Decoupling of genetic and cultural inheritance in a wild mammal

Catherine E. Sheppard^{1,†}, Harry H. Marshall^{1,2,†}, Richard Inger³, Faye J. Thompson¹,
Emma I. K. Vitikainen¹, Sam Barker³, Hazel J. Nichols⁴, David A. Wells⁴, Robbie A.
McDonald³, Michael A. Cant^{1*}

1. Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus,
Penryn, Cornwall TR10 9FE, UK.

2. Centre for Research in Ecology, Evolution and Behaviour, University of
Roehampton, London, SW15 4JD, UK.

3. Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus,
Penryn, Cornwall TR10 9FE, UK.

4. School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University,
Liverpool L3 3AF, UK.

†These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author and lead contact. m.a.cant@exeter.ac.uk

Summary

Cultural inheritance, the transmission of socially learned information across generations, is a non-genetic, 'second inheritance system' capable of shaping phenotypic variation in humans and many non-human animals[1-3]. Studies of wild animals show that conformity[4, 5] and biases toward copying particular individuals[6, 7] can result in the rapid spread of culturally transmitted behavioural traits and a consequent increase in behavioural homogeneity within groups and populations[8, 9]. These findings support classic models of cultural evolution[10, 11] which predict that many-to-one or one-to-many transmission erodes within-group variance in culturally inherited traits. However, classic theory[10, 11] also predicts that within-group heterogeneity is preserved when offspring each learn from an exclusive role model. We tested this prediction in a wild mammal, the banded mongoose (*Mungos mungo*), in which offspring are reared by specific adult carers that are not their parents, providing an opportunity to disentangle genetic and cultural inheritance of behaviour. We show using stable isotope analysis that young mongooses inherit their adult foraging niche from cultural role models, not from their genetic parents. As predicted by theory, one-to-one cultural transmission prevented blending inheritance and allowed the stable coexistence of distinct behavioral traditions within the same social groups. Our results confirm that cultural inheritance via role models can promote rather than erode behavioral heterogeneity in natural populations.

Results

At our study site in western Uganda, banded mongooses live in groups of around 20 adults of both sexes, plus offspring[12]. Multiple females in each group reproduce in each breeding attempt, typically giving birth on the same day to a large combined litter which is reared cooperatively by the rest of the group. Pups are kept in an underground den for the first month of life, after which they emerge from the den and forage with the group. From this point until they reach nutritional independence at 3 months old, pups form close caring relationships with adult helpers called 'escorts' (Figure 1; see Video S1). Pups are not more closely genetically related to their escorts than they are to random adults in the group[13]. In a previous study we showed, using a food puzzle, that pups in a close escorting relationship learn specific foraging techniques from their escort via contextual imitation[14]. Cultural inheritance might therefore contribute to observed variation between group members in individual foraging niche and foraging specialisation[15]. On any given foraging trip

pups associate with a single escort, however, the fidelity of these escorting relationships varies widely across the care period, even among members of the same communal litter. Some pups associate exclusively with a single escort every day during the period that they learn foraging techniques from adults (one-to-one relationships), whereas others associate with multiple escorts over the care period, and hence are exposed to multiple potential cultural role models (many-to-one relationships; Figure 2).

Using stable isotope analysis (SIA) of facial vibrissa (whisker) samples to measure individual foraging niche[16-18], we tested three predictions deriving from the hypothesis that foraging niche is culturally rather than genetically inherited in this system. First, we predicted that the foraging niche of offspring would more closely resemble that of their escorts, compared to their genetic parents or other adults in the group. Second, we predicted that the influence of an escort on an offspring's foraging niche would develop over the escorting period and persist in adulthood, long enough to influence the next generation. Third, on the basis of cultural evolution theory[10, 11] we predicted that offspring in one-to-one relationships with a single escort would show higher fidelity cultural transmission and hence closer niche similarity to that escort compared to those that associated with multiple escorts (many-to-one relationships).

Across all age groups, individual isotope values were closer to the isotope values of the (adult) individuals that escorted them as a pup than to those of random (adult) group members that were sampled at the same time (Figure 3; mean observed value = 0.67, null distribution mean = 0.77, $p = 0.002$; $n = 107$). Individuals were closer to their escort than a random group member in both $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values when examined separately ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$: observed value = 0.48, null distribution mean = 0.54, $p = 0.03$; $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: observed value = 0.40, null distribution mean = 0.46, $p = 0.02$). Individuals' isotope values were not closer to either parent compared to a random group member (Fig. 3; father: $p = 0.9$, $n = 17$; mother: $p = 0.85$; $n = 27$), and in fact were farther from their fathers' values than from random group members.

Foraging niche similarity between pups and their escorts became evident in samples that grew during the escorting period, and this culturally inherited niche similarity persisted long after escorted pups became adults themselves. Isotope values of pups sampled at less than 3 months old (mean \pm s.d. age = 37 ± 7 days, $n = 11$) were not closer to those of their escorts than a random group member (Figure 4a; $p = 0.78$, $n = 11$). Since vibrissae take several months to grow ([15]; see

Methods), vibrissa composition at this age reflects nutrition in utero and/or during lactation. By contrast, the isotope values of independent juvenile individuals sampled at 3-12 months (mean \pm s.d. age = 231 ± 65 days, $n = 32$) and adult individuals aged over 1 year (mean \pm s.d. age = 2.2 ± 1.0 years, $n = 64$) were significantly closer to their escort than a random group member (Figure 4a; juvenile: mean observed value = 0.94, null distribution mean = 1.13, $p = 0.003$, $n = 32$; adult: mean observed value = 0.53, null distribution mean = 0.62, $p = 0.02$, $n = 64$). The mean age of former pups sampled as adults (2.2 years) is 66% of expected lifespan of individuals that reach adulthood (3.33 years[19]); and the maximum age of individuals in this sample was 5.6 years, or 168% of expected adult lifespan. Our results thus suggest that the culturally inherited foraging niche acquired in the first 3 months of life persists across the lifespan.

Finally, the number of escorts to which a pup had access influenced the fidelity of cultural transmission of foraging niche, supporting our third prediction. Individuals that associated with a single escort throughout the escorting period (one-to-one pups) had isotope values that were significantly closer to their escort than to random group members (mean observed value = 0.63, null distribution mean = 0.75, $p = 0.006$, $n = 54$; Figure 4b). Pups that had multiple escorts (many-to-one pups) also had isotopic niche values that were more similar, on average, to their escorts than to random group members (mean observed value = 0.72, null distribution mean = 0.80, $p = 0.041$, $n = 53$; Figure 4b). However, the fidelity of cultural transmission (as measured by the deviation from the null distribution) was much stronger for the one-to-one compared to the many-to-one case. In addition, the amount of time that a pup spent with its escort influenced the fidelity of cultural inheritance, particularly for pups with a single escort. Pups with a 'strong' one-to-one relationship with a single escort (where a strong relationship was defined as spending more than half of the escorting period days together) showed closer niche similarity to their escort than pups with a 'weak' relationship to one or more escorts ([mean observed value, null distribution mean, p value, n] for strong one-to-one relationships = [0.63,0.75,0.03,35]; weak one-to-one relationships = [0.62,0.73,0.07,12]; strong many-to-one relationships, average isodistance to all escorts = [0.86,0.93,0.19,22]; weak many-to-one relationships, average isodistance to all escorts = [0.62,0.71,0.08,31]).

Discussion

Our results provide strong evidence of cultural inheritance in banded mongooses because the unusual escort system of alloparental care represents a natural cross-fostering experiment, decoupling genetic and non-genetic influences on behaviour. Non-genetic inheritance in this system occurs via imitation of adult preferences for particular foraging techniques (e.g. smashing versus biting of hard-shelled prey items[14]), and, potentially, preferences for foraging in particular areas or substrates (e.g. under bushes, or in elephant dung). In birds and fish, cross-fostering and transplantation experiments provide similarly clear evidence that key behavioural traits (e.g. foraging or site preferences) are culturally transmitted over generations in the wild[20-22]. In mammals, cross-fostering is logistically difficult, but cultural inheritance can be inferred from geographic or population variation in behaviour that cannot be explained by genetic or environmental differences (e.g. tool-use and foraging techniques in apes[2, 23, 24] and dolphins[25, 26]); or the very rapid diffusion of behavioural traits in space and time (e.g. songs in whales[27, 28]). Cooperatively breeding species in which adults care for unrelated offspring[29], or offspring of varying relatedness[30], may offer new opportunities to reveal subtle but potentially pervasive non-genetic forms of inheritance of phenotypic traits in natural populations.

In line with theoretical predictions[10, 11], the influence of escorts in shaping the foraging niche of developing pups depended on the number of cultural role models to which pups were exposed. Niche similarity between escort and pup was particularly strong in cases where the pup was escorted exclusively by the same adult day after day (one-to-one pups), compared to cases where pups associated with multiple escorts (or where they spent much of their time unescorted). Despite the observation that pups with multiple escorts spent most of their time with a single primary escort (Figure 2), their exposure to other escorts diluted the culturally transmitted niche similarity to this primary escort, as expected if pups exhibit blending cultural inheritance when they are exposed multiple role models. Our results thus support models of cultural evolution of quantitative traits which predict that variation in the number of 'cultural parents'[11], or the degree of social 'compartmentalisation'[10], constrains cultural blending inheritance and determines, at least in part, whether cultural transmission erodes or maintains heterogeneity in social groups.

While classic models of cultural inheritance examine the consequences of different transmission modes (e.g. one-to-one, many-to-one, many-to-many) for phenotypic variation, there has been little exploration of the ecological and social conditions for which different modes of transmission might be favoured by natural selection. At first sight, one-to-one transmission has several potential disadvantages for the spread of fitness-enhancing cultural traits: offspring may miss out on beneficial innovations and skills that are available to other offspring in the same litter, or fail to exploit all of the resource types available to the group. One-to-one inheritance also weakens between group cultural selection for group-beneficial traits[31]. In some circumstances, however, natural selection may favour genetically or culturally determined modes of cultural transmission that maintain within-group variation[32]. In banded mongooses, individuals respond to within-group foraging competition by adopting more specialised, less overlapping individual foraging niches[15]. Our results suggest that one-to-one cultural transmission may enhance niche partitioning among competing litter- or group-mates, alleviating competition for shared resources. The unusual escort system might therefore be linked to another unusual feature of this system, extreme birth synchrony and intense reproductive competition in communal litters[33]. At a group level, heterogeneous groups may be less susceptible to Allee effects that are pervasive in cooperative breeders[34], and more resilient to environmental changes[35]. Thus both genetic natural selection and cultural group selection could favour the evolution of cultural mechanisms that contribute to persistent phenotypic variation in natural animal populations.

To summarise, our results demonstrate cultural inheritance of foraging niche across generations in a wild mammal, and support the theorised effect of variation in intergenerational association patterns on within-group variation in culturally inherited traits. A historical focus on animal cultures and traditions as group-level phenomena may have underestimated the potential for cultural evolution to contribute to persistent behavioral diversity in natural animal populations.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Uganda Wildlife Authority and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology for permission to carry out our research and the Wardens of Queen Elizabeth National Park for logistical support. We thank Francis Mwanguhya, Solomon Kyabulima, Kenneth Mwesige, Robert Businge, and Solomon Ahabyona for

assistance in the field. We are grateful to Tim Clutton-Brock, Jason Gilchrist, Sarah Hodge, Matthew Bell, Corsin Müller, Neil Jordan, Bonnie Metherell, Roman Furrer, David Jansen, Jennifer Sanderson, and Beth Preston for their contributions to the long-term study. We thank Michelle Hares, Emma Inzani, and Dave Seager for discussion, and Stuart Bearhop, Andrew Jackson and Andrew Parnell for analytical advice. We are grateful to Alex Thornton, Alex Mesoudi, Dan Blumstein, and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript. All research procedures received prior approval from Uganda Wildlife Authority and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, and adhered to the Guidelines for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and Teaching, published by the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour. All research was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Exeter. The research was funded by a European Research Council Consolidator's Grant (309249) and Natural Environment Research Council (UK) Standard Grant (NE/J010278/1) awarded to M.A.C.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, M.A.C., H.H.M., C.E.S., R.I. and R.A.M.; Methodology, C.E.S., H.H.M., R.I.; Formal Analysis: C.E.S. and H.H.M.; Investigation, C.E.S., H.H.M., F.J.T., E.I.K.V., H.J.N., D.A.W., S.B., M.A.C; Writing – Original Draft, M.A.C., C.E.S. and H.H.M.; Writing – Review & Editing, M.A.C., H.H.M., F.J.T., E.I.K.V., H.J.N., R.I., R.A.M.; Funding Acquisition, M.A.C.; Resources, R.A.M., S.B., R.I., H.J.N.; Supervision, M.A.C., H.H.M., R.A.M.

REFERENCES

1. Whiten, A. (2005). The second inheritance system of chimpanzees and humans. *Nature* 437, 52-55.
2. Whiten, A. (2017). A second inheritance system: The extension of biology through culture. *Interface Focus* 7, 20160142.
3. Danchin, É., Charmantier, A., Champagne, F.A., Mesoudi, A., Pujol, B., and Blanchet, S. (2011). Beyond DNA: integrating inclusive inheritance into an extended theory of evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 12, 475-486.
4. Aplin, L.M., Farine, D.R., Morand-Ferron, J., Cockburn, A., Thornton, A., and Sheldon, B.C. (2014). Experimentally induced innovations lead to persistent culture via conformity in wild birds. *Nature* 518, 538.
5. van de Waal, E., Borgeaud, C., and Whiten, A. (2013). Potent social learning and conformity shape a wild primate's foraging decisions. *Science* 340, 483-485.
6. Biro, D., Inoue-Nakamura, N., Tonooka, R., Yamakoshi, G., Sousa, C., and Matsuzawa, T. (2003). Cultural innovation and transmission of tool use in wild chimpanzees: evidence from field experiments. *Anim Cogn* 6, 213-223.
7. van de Waal, E., Renevey, N., Favre, C.M., and Bshary, R. (2010). Selective attention to philopatric models causes directed social learning in wild vervet monkeys. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.
8. Whitehead, H., and Richerson, P.J. (2009). The evolution of conformist social learning can cause population collapse in realistically variable environments. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 30, 261-273.
9. Whiten, A., Ayala, F.J., Feldman, M.W., and Laland, K.N. (2017). The extension of biology through culture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114, 7775-7781.
10. Cavalli-Sforza, L.L., and Feldman, M.W. (1981). *Cultural transmission and evolution: a quantitative approach*, (Princeton University Press).
11. Boyd, R., and Richerson, P. (1985). *Culture and the Evolutionary Process*, (Chicago: Chicago University Press).
12. Cant, M.A., Nichols, H.J., Thompson, F.J., and Vitikainen, E. (2016). Banded mongooses: demography, life history, and social behavior. *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates*, 318-337.
13. Vitikainen, E.I., Marshall, H.H., Thompson, F.J., Sanderson, J.L., Bell, M.B., Gilchrist, J.S., Hodge, S.J., Nichols, H.J., and Cant, M.A. (2017). Biased escorts: offspring sex, not relatedness explains alloparental care patterns in a cooperative breeder. In *Proc. R. Soc. B, Volume 284*. (The Royal Society), p. 20162384.
14. Mueller, C.A., and Cant, M.A. (2010). Imitation and Traditions in Wild Banded Mongooses. *Current Biology* 20, 1171-1175.

- 255 15. Sheppard, C.E., Inger, R., McDonald, R.A., Barker, S., Jackson, A.L.,
256 Thompson, F.J., Vitikainen, E.I.K., Cant, M.A., and Marshall, H.H. (2018).
257 Intragroup competition predicts individual foraging specialisation in a group-living
258 mammal. *Ecol Lett* 21, 665-673.
- 259 16. Hobson, K.A. (1999). Tracing origins and migration of wildlife using stable
260 isotopes: a review. *Oecologia* 120, 314-326.
- 261 17. Bearhop, S., Furness, R., Hilton, G., Votier, S., and Waldron, S. (2003). A
262 forensic approach to understanding diet and habitat use from stable isotope
263 analysis of (avian) claw material. *Functional Ecology* 17, 270-275.
- 264 18. Araújo, M.S., Bolnick, D.I., Machado, G., Giaretta, A.A., and Dos Reis, S.F.
265 (2007). Using $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ stable isotopes to quantify individual-level diet variation.
266 *Oecologia* 152, 643-654.
- 267 19. Vitikainen, E.I., Cant, M.A., Sanderson, J.L., Mitchell, C., Nichols, H.J., Marshall,
268 H.H., Thompson, F.J., Gilchrist, J.S., Hodge, S.J., and Johnstone, R.A. (2016).
269 Evidence of oxidative shielding of offspring in a wild mammal. *Frontiers in*
270 *Ecology and Evolution* 4.
- 271 20. Slagsvold, T., and Wiebe, K.L. (2011). Social learning in birds and its role in
272 shaping a foraging niche. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-*
273 *Biological Sciences* 366, 969-977.
- 274 21. Warner, R.R. (1988). Traditionality of mating-site preferences in a coral reef fish.
275 *Nature* 335, 719.
- 276 22. Helfman, G.S., and Schultz, E.T. (1984). Social transmission of behavioural
277 traditions in a coral reef fish. *Animal Behaviour* 32, 379-384.
- 278 23. Whiten, A., Goodall, J., McGrew, W.C., Nishida, T., Reynolds, V., Sugiyama, Y.,
279 Tutin, C.E., Wrangham, R.W., and Boesch, C. (1999). Cultures in chimpanzees.
280 *Nature* 399, 682.
- 281 24. Schuppli, C., Meulman, E.J.M., Forss, S.I.F., Aprilinayati, F., van Noordwijk,
282 M.A., and van Schaik, C.P. (2016). Observational social learning and socially
283 induced practice of routine skills in immature wild orang-utans. *Animal Behaviour*
284 119, 87-98.
- 285 25. Krützen, M., Mann, J., Heithaus, M.R., Connor, R.C., Bejder, L., and Sherwin,
286 W.B. (2005). Cultural transmission of tool use in bottlenose dolphins.
287 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
288 *America* 102, 8939-8943.
- 289 26. Krützen, M., Kreicker, S., MacLeod, C.D., Learmonth, J., Kopps, A.M., Walsham,
290 P., and Allen, S.J. (2014). Cultural transmission of tool use by Indo-Pacific
291 bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.) provides access to a novel
292 foraging niche. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281.
- 293 27. Garland, E.C., Goldizen, A.W., Rekdahl, M.L., Constantine, R., Garrigue, C.,
294 Hauser, N.D., Poole, M.M., Robbins, J., and Noad, M.J. (2011). Dynamic
295 horizontal cultural transmission of humpback whale song at the ocean basin
296 scale. *Current Biology* 21, 687-691.

- 297 28. Noad, M.J., Cato, D.H., Bryden, M., Jenner, M.-N., and Jenner, K.C.S. (2000).
298 Cultural revolution in whale songs. *Nature* 408, 537.
- 299 29. Clutton-Brock, T.H. (2009). Cooperation between non-kin in animal societies.
300 *Nature* 461, 51-57.
- 301 30. Green, J.P., Freckleton, R.P., and Hatchwell, B.J. (2016). Variation in helper
302 effort among cooperatively breeding bird species is consistent with Hamilton's
303 Rule. *Nature communications* 7, 12663.
- 304 31. Hewlett, B.S., and Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. (1986). Cultural transmission among Aka
305 pygmies. *American Anthropologist* 88, 922-934.
- 306 32. Whiten, A., Spiteri, A., Horner, V., Bonnie, K.E., Lambeth, S.P., Schapiro, S.J.,
307 and De Waal, F.B. (2007). Transmission of multiple traditions within and
308 between chimpanzee groups. *Current Biology* 17, 1038-1043.
- 309 33. Hodge, S.J., Bell, M.B., and Cant, M.A. (2011). Reproductive competition and
310 the evolution of extreme birth synchrony in a cooperative mammal. *Biology*
311 *Letters* 7, 54-56.
- 312 34. Courchamp, F., Clutton-Brock, T., and Grenfell, B. (1999). Inverse density
313 dependence and the Allee effect. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14, 405-410.
- 314 35. Whitehead, H. (2010). Conserving and managing animals that learn socially and
315 share cultures. *Learning & Behavior* 38, 329-336.
- 316 36. Crawford, K., McDonald, R.A., and Bearhop, S. (2008). Applications of stable
317 isotope techniques to the ecology of mammals. *Mammal Review* 38, 87-107.
- 318 37. Jordan, N.R., Mwanguhya, F., Kyabulima, S., Ruedi, P., and Cant, M.A. (2010).
319 Scent marking within and between groups of wild banded mongooses. *Journal of*
320 *Zoology* 280, 72-83.
- 321 38. Gilchrist, J.S., and Russell, A.F. (2007). Who cares? Individual contributions to
322 pup care by breeders vs non-breeders in the cooperatively breeding banded
323 mongoose (*Mungos mungo*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 61, 1053-
324 1060.
- 325 39. Sanderson, J.L., Wang, J., Vitikainen, E.I., Cant, M.A., and Nichols, H.J. (2015).
326 Banded mongooses avoid inbreeding when mating with members of the same
327 natal group. *Molecular ecology* 24, 3738-3751.
- 328 40. Ruxton, G.D., and Neuhauser, M. (2010). When should we use one-tailed
329 hypothesis testing? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 1, 114-117.

330

331

332

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Escorting in banded mongooses. From the age of around 30 days until they reach nutritional independence at 90 days, pups are cared for by adults that are no more closely related than random group members. Escorts provision pups, help them to find food, and carry them away from danger (see also Video S1). Photo: Dave Seager.

Figure 2. Exclusivity and strength of escort-pup relationships. A. Histogram of association patterns between adults and pups. Most pups were cared for by a single escort over the entire two month escorting period ('one-to-one pups'). Data are from 1061 escorting relationships of 762 pups in 10 groups. B. Where pups were cared for by multiple escorts ('many-to-one' pups), they were observed most of the time with a single, 'primary' escort; other escorts accounted for a relatively small proportion of the total amount of escorting experienced by a particular pup. Box-and-whisker plots show the median (horizontal midline), interquartile range (boxes) and 1.5 times the interquartile range (whiskers). The points show data that fall outside of this range.

Figure 3. Foraging niche is inherited from escorts, not from genetic parents. Violin plots showing the null distributions of the mean distance in bivariate isotopic space ('isodistance') between a focal individual and a random group member (grey/white 'violins') compared to the observed mean isodistance (red dots) between a focal individual and the individual that escorted them when they were a pup ($n = 107$), or genetic parents (mothers, $n = 27$; fathers, $n = 17$). Horizontal lines mark the median and 5th percentiles within the distribution. Asterisks denote a significant difference between the observed mean and the null distribution: $** p = 0.002$.

Figure 4. Durability and fidelity of cultural inheritance. A. Isodistance to escort when the focal individual was sampled as a pup (30-90 days; $n = 11$), juvenile (90-365 days; $n = 32$) and adult (365+ days; $n = 64$). Since whiskers take several months to grow[15], samples from pups reflect nutrition in utero and during lactation; samples from juveniles include the escorting period. $** p = 0.003$; $* p = 0.02$. B. Isodistance to escort in cases where pups had a single escort (one-to-one pups; $n = 54$) versus multiple escorts (many-to-one pups; $n = 53$). $** p = 0.006$; $* p = 0.041$.

STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Deposited Data Figshare Data Repository (www.figshare.com)	This paper	doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.620481
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains <i>Mungos mungo</i>	Mweya, Queen Elizabeth National Park, Uganda	N/A

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Michael Cant (m.a.cant@exeter.ac.uk)

STUDY SITE AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ethical Note

All research procedures received prior approval from Uganda Wildlife Authority and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, and adhered to the ASAB/ABS Guidelines for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and Teaching. All research was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Exeter

Study population

The research was conducted on a population of wild banded mongooses living on and around Mweya peninsula, western Uganda (0°12'S, 29°54'E) which has been studied continuously since 1995[12]. This study utilised samples and life history data spanning 22 years from October 1995 to February 2017. All individuals in the population are identifiable through use of unique shave patterns on their backs and pit tags (TAG-P-122IJ, Wyre Micro Design Ltd., UK) inserted under the skin of their nape. Two members of each group are fitted with a radiocollar (Sirtrack Ltd,

Havelock North, New Zealand) which allows them to be located on a daily basis. On first capture a 2 mm skin sample was collected from the end of the tail using sterile scissors for genetic analyses. Further details of the study species and site can be found in [12].

METHOD DETAILS

Sample collection and stable isotope analysis

Stable isotope analysis is based on the premise that the stable isotope ratios of a consumer's tissue reflects that of its dietary sources during the time the tissue was synthesised [17, 18]. We used vibrissae samples from individual mongooses to measure the ratios of ^{13}C and ^{15}N stable isotope, which vary with habitat and trophic level respectively, representing foraging location and trophic position [36].

Vibrissae were collected from individual banded mongooses under general anaesthetic between October 2013 and September 2015. Individuals were captured using box traps (Tomahawk Live Trap Co., Tomahawk, WI, USA) and anaesthetised using isoflurane (see [37] for details of trapping procedure). Vibrissae were sampled from the same side of each mongoose's snout to ensure fresh vibrissa growth was sampled at each trapping. Vibrissa samples from individual banded mongooses (4-5 per sample to obtain enough material for stable isotope analysis) were scraped to remove debris and cut into smaller fragments using a scalpel and forceps. Samples were then weighed to around 0.8mg (mean \pm sd: $0.80\text{mg} \pm 0.28$; $n = 107$) and sealed in small tin capsules in preparation for stable isotope analysis. Analyses of carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ / $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) were carried out using a Sercon Integra integrated elemental analyser and mass spectrometer (CF-IRMS; Cheshire, UK). Expressed as δ values, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ isotope ratios are reported in parts per mil (‰), with reference to international reference materials (IAEA, Vienna) and scaled to V-PDB and air, respectively.

To determine the growth rate of vibrissae we provisioned six adults with Rhodamine-B infused carnivore kibble and collected their vibrissae one month later (see also [15]). This data suggested that the mean time to regrow an entire adult vibrissa was 6.3 months (lower-upper s.e.=5.3-7.8). Hence we infer that samples from pups in the escorting period (aged 30 to 60 days) primarily reflect nutrition in utero and during lactation (age -60 to 30 days); samples from juveniles aged 90 to 365 days include the entire escorting period and the first month or two of independent foraging; samples over 365 days reflect the foraging niche of individuals

aged ~6 months to 5 years old (since the samples are taken at age 1 to 5.6 years; see Statistical Methods below).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Escorting behaviour and genetic analyses

Escorting behaviour is observed in communal litters each day after emergence through to nutritional independence (See Video S1). Escorting behaviour is conspicuous and adult individuals are recorded as an escort in a particular group visit if they associated closely with a focal pup (observed within 0.3m of the focal pup for over half of the 20-minute observation session[38]). Our study utilises escorting behavioural data from 134 communal litters from 10 social groups. We calculated escorting relationship strength as the proportion of group visits during the escorting period that a particular adult was recorded as a pup's escort. Pup parentage was assigned using genetic data from 2mm skin samples taken at first capture. DNA was extracted from these samples and was genotyped using a panel of 43 microsatellite loci (see details of DNA analysis and parentage assignment in[39]).

Prediction 1: The foraging niche of offspring will more closely resemble that of their escorts, compared to their genetic parents or other adults in the group.

We obtained 107 isotope measures from individual banded mongooses aged from 29 days to 5.6 years old. These were matched with a corresponding measure collected within one month from the individual that escorted the focal individual when he/she was a pup. To test whether there was non-genetic social transmission of foraging niche between escorts and pups, we used a randomised permutation procedure to compare the distances between individuals and their escorts in bivariate isotopic space (hereafter referred to as 'isodistance') with their isodistance from a random group member. Random group members were chosen from individuals who met the following criteria: i) a vibrissa sample was available from them within one month of the focal individual's sample, ii) they were not the escort, mother or father of the focal individual and iii) they were an adult group member (>1 year old) on the day the focal individual was sampled. In each permutation, a random group member that matched these criteria was assigned to each of the 107 focal individual samples, and a mean isodistance calculated from across these random pairings. We repeated this procedure for 10,000 permutations to create a null distribution of mean isodistances.

We then compared the mean observed focal individual-to-escort isodistances to this null distribution and calculated a one-tailed p value testing our *a priori* prediction that individual-to-escort isodistances would be smaller than individual-to-random group member isodistances[40] (our main results are qualitatively unchanged if we assume a two-tailed test). To assess whether any effect on isodistances we found was driven by either $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ or $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, we repeated this process comparing individual-escort and individual-random group member differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ separately.

To test whether there was parental transmission of foraging niche, we repeated the above randomisation process compared individual-mother and individual-father isodistances with null distributions. Individuals whose father was part of a different social group at the time of sampling were excluded. All mothers in the sample were in the same social group as their offspring at the time of sampling. The resultant data sets were composed of 24 (17 individuals and 9 mothers from four social groups) and 19 (13 individuals and eight fathers from five social groups) paired individual isotope samples, respectively.

Prediction 2: Culturally inherited differences in foraging niche will develop during the escorting period and persist into adulthood.

We repeated the above randomisation analysis for individual-escort paired samples collected when the individual was a pup (< 90 days; $n = 11$; mean \pm s.d. age = 37 ± 7 days), subadult (≥ 90 days to a year; $n = 32$; mean \pm s.d. age = 231 ± 65 days) and adult (≥ 1 year; $n = 64$; mean \pm s.d. age = 2.2 ± 1.0 years). This allowed us to investigate the ontogeny of foraging niche and whether individuals continue to use similar niches to their escort after they reach nutritional independence (at age 3 months) and adulthood (at age one year[12]).

Prediction 3: Exposure to multiple role models will dilute the fidelity with which foraging niche is culturally inherited.

We used our randomisation procedure to compare isodistance between pups with single (one-to-one) versus multiple (many-to-one) escorts. For cases involving multiple escorts, each focal individual-to-escort isodistance was represented once in calculating the mean. In addition, we analysed whether the strength of the relationship between pups and escorts in one-to-one and many-to-one relationships influences fidelity of transmission of foraging niche. We classed a relationship

between a pup and escort as 'strong' if they spent over half of the escorting period together. Against the null distribution for each of the following categories, we compared the mean isodistance of pups to escorts in (i) strong one-to-one relationships; (ii) weak one-to-one relationships; (iii) strong many-to-one relationships (where for each escortee we took an average of the isodistance to all of its escorts); (iv) weak many-to-one relationships (again averaging the isodistance to all the escorts of each escortee).

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data used in all the analyses are available via the Dryad Data Repository (www.datadryad.org).

507 **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

508 **Video S1.** Escorts and pups foraging together. Related to Figure 1 and STAR
509 Methods. Pups form a close relationship with a single escort on any given foraging
510 trip. This clip features two escorts in close association with their respective pups.
511 However, there is considerable variation in the fidelity and strength of escorting
512 relationships. Toward the end of this clip (around 0:18) a pup without an obvious
513 escort can be seen emerging from the bush.

514

515


512
513
514
515



516
517
518 Fig. 1
519

520

521



522


523

524 Fig 2.

525

526

527



528

529

530

531 Fig 3.

532




Fig. 4.



[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental Videos and Spreadsheets

Escort clip for CB1.mp4

